

Ambulatory continuous peripheral nerve block for chronic pain

Clinical Policy ID: CCP.1347

Recent review date: 4/2025

Next review date: 8/2026

Policy contains: Chronic pain; continuous peripheral nerve block; perineural infusion.

AmeriHealth Caritas has developed clinical policies to assist with making coverage determinations. AmeriHealth Caritas' clinical policies are based on guidelines from established industry sources, such as the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), state regulatory agencies, the American Medical Association (AMA), medical specialty professional societies, and peer-reviewed professional literature. These clinical policies along with other sources, such as plan benefits and state and federal laws and regulatory requirements, including any state- or plan-specific definition of "medically necessary," and the specific facts of the particular situation are considered, on a case by case basis, by AmeriHealth Caritas when making coverage determinations. In the event of conflict between this clinical policy and plan benefits and/or state or federal laws and/or regulatory requirements, the plan benefits and/or state and federal laws and/or regulatory requirements shall control. AmeriHealth Caritas' clinical policies are for informational purposes only and not intended as medical advice or to direct treatment. Physicians and other health care providers are solely responsible for the treatment decisions for their patients. AmeriHealth Caritas' clinical policies as necessary. AmeriHealth Caritas' clinical policies are not guarantees of payment.

Coverage policy

Ambulatory continuous peripheral nerve block for chronic pain is investigational/not clinically proven and, therefore, not medically necessary.

Limitations

No limitations were identified during the writing of this policy.

Alternative covered services

Standards of care involve a range of multimodal approaches depending on pain severity and underlying condition, including, but not limited to:

- Non-pharmacologic interventions (e.g., acupuncture, physical therapy and exercise, cognitive behavioral therapy, and mindfulness meditation).
- Systemic opioid and non-opioid pharmacotherapy.
- Local anesthetic injections.
- Epidural or intrathecal regional infusions.
- Neuro-ablation.
- Peripheral nerve stimulation.
- Surgical intervention.

Background

Chronic pain is defined as ongoing pain persisting three to six months longer than the usual recovery period, or is a result of a pain inducing medical condition (chronic regional pain syndrome, arthritis, cancer, phantom limb pain) that can be continuous or intermittent in nature. It is a significant and challenging health problem to manage, particularly in those of African American descent and Hispanic ethnicity, with lower socioeconomic income and education, with cognitive impairment, and in pediatric populations (Rikard, 2023). It is among the most common reasons to seek medical treatment and is associated with a decreased quality of life, opioid dependency, and declining mental health leading to chronic depression (Zelaya, 2020).

Treatment goals are to decrease pain intensity and improve functional outcomes. Prescription opioids are effective, but their long-term use is associated with an increased risk of opioid dependency, overdose, and other adverse outcomes (e.g., cardiovascular events or fractures). In consideration of this, treatment of chronic pain poses a significant public health challenge that has resulted in multidisciplinary and multimodal non-opioid approaches to reduce opioid misuse and abuse and manage pain more effectively (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2017).

Interventional neuromodulation therapies deliver pharmaceutical agents, electrical signals, or other forms of energy directly to the pain source and are reversible, thereby avoiding side effects associated with more systemic or irreversible treatments. They increase the flexibility of both duration and density of local anesthetic effect, depending on the chosen dose. A peripheral nerve block is neuromodulatory therapy delivered as a single injection or by continuous infusion. Single-injection peripheral nerve block offers effective pain relief for up to 24 hours but requires a dense motor block, and important sensory loss must be taken into account (Aguirre, 2012).

A continuous peripheral nerve block comprises an indwelling catheter, a long-acting local anesthetic, and an infusion pump (Aguirre, 2012). Guided by nerve stimulation, ultrasound, paresthesia induction, fluoroscopic imaging, or simple tactile perceptions, the catheter is inserted percutaneously in the proximity of the target nerve to deliver local anesthetic, most commonly bupivacaine and ropivacaine (Aguirre, 2012; Ilfeld, 2017).

Multiple small portable infusion pumps are available, each with benefits and limitations. They are most often used for post-surgical pain control in the hospital setting, but lightweight, portable pumps allow for ambulatory infusion as well. A continuous peripheral nerve block offers adjustments of volume or concentration of local anesthetic, which reduces the need for a large initial bolus. It also lowers the risk of systemic toxicity, falls, positioning injury, and potentially improves patient outcomes (Aguirre, 2012).

Findings

We identified three narrative reviews (Aguirre, 2012; Ilfeld, 2011, 2017) and seven evidence-based guidelines (Blumenfeld, 2013; Horlocker, 2018; Manchikanti, 2013; Martelletti, 2013; National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2025; Neal, 2015; Paice, 2016). The overwhelming majority of studies of continuous peripheral nerve block involve perioperative analgesia in adults, which is the only application validated with randomized, controlled trials. Low-quality retrospective studies have described experience with continuous peripheral nerve block in hundreds of pediatric post-surgical patients (Ilfeld, 2017).

Ambulatory continuous peripheral nerve block for perioperative pain control is at least as effective as sham, single-injection peripheral nerve blocks, and neuraxial routes of analgesia for controlling pain, decreasing opioid consumption and opioid-related side effects, decreasing nausea, and providing greater patient satisfaction (Bingham, 2012). Prolonged benefits of regional anesthesia after catheter removal were reported in a minority of patients. Evidence of long-term outcomes such as decreased chronic pain and improved health-related quality of life are lacking (Aguirre, 2012; Bingham, 2012).

The evidence of ambulatory continuous peripheral nerve block for chronic pain indications is anecdotal, consisting of case reports and small case series describing continuous peripheral nerve block in adult and pediatric populations for complex regional pain syndrome, intractable phantom limb pain, terminal cancer pain, trigeminal neuralgia, postsurgical chronic (> three months) pain syndromes, ischemia-induced pain, and ulcer-derived pain (Ilfeld, 2017). The evidence suggests continuous peripheral nerve block is feasible and may offer effective analgesia for certain chronic pain types that would respond to a peripheral nerve block, but the relative safety or efficacy compared to other treatment options, optimal delivery, or patient selection criteria cannot be determined.

The experience with ambulatory continuous peripheral nerve block in the perioperative pain settings offers some insight into its potential use for chronic pain. Regardless of technique or block location, major complications of peripheral nerve block, though rare, include vascular puncture and bleeding, nerve damage, infection, and local anesthetic systemic toxicity; minor complications involve catheter dislodgement, obstruction, and fluid leakage at the catheter site (Aguirre, 2012). Ambulatory support systems that are evidence-based and incorporate rapid diagnosis and early treatment algorithms can positively influence patient outcomes (Neal, 2015).

The complexity associated with an indwelling catheter and pump assembly raises the likelihood of technique failure. Successful home use of continuous peripheral nerve block depends on appropriate patient selection, adequate ambulatory care support to detect and address adverse events promptly, and education on pump management and catheter removal (Aguirre, 2012). While age alone is not an absolute exclusion criterion, patients for whom continuous peripheral nerve block may not be appropriate include those with (Ilfeld, 2011):

- Known renal and hepatic insufficiency to avoid possible local anesthetic toxicity.
- Heart disease, lung disease, or obesity who may not be able to compensate for mild hypoxia or hypercarbia (interscalene and cervical paravertebral infusions).
- Altered mental status or psychosocial issues that prevents understanding of, or cooperation with, protocol and care requirements.
- Inability to be contacted after discharge or to access a medical facility in case of emergency.

Evidence-based guidelines provide little direction on the optimal use of continuous peripheral nerve block in chronic nonmalignant pain care. Where peripheral nerve block is mentioned as a treatment option, guidelines recommend single-injection peripheral nerve block as an alternative when more conservative treatment has failed, with no specific mention of continuous delivery systems, except when recommending neuraxial techniques (Blumenfeld, 2013; Horlocker, 2018; Manchikanti, 2013; Martelletti, 2013; Neal, 2015). The American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain (2010) does not mention continuous infusion of peripheral nerve block in their list of treatment options for chronic pain.

For malignant pain, the American Society of Clinical Oncology (Paice, 2016) recommends peripheral nerve blocks as an interventional therapy option based on a Cochrane review (Arcidiacono, 2011) demonstrating improved pain and lower opioid consumption at four weeks in adults receiving a single-injection celiac plexus block for pancreatic cancer pain. Neither the review nor the guideline specifically mentioned continuous infusion except with neuraxial techniques.

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network Guidelines for Adult Cancer Pain (2025) include continuous regional nerve block infusions, which may involve peripheral nerve blocks, as one of several interventional options for managing cancer pain in adults, as noted in the 'Interventional Strategies' section. The guideline mentions that these infusions might use pumps for continuous drug delivery, but it does not provide specific guidance on peripheral nerve blocks alone. It states that epidural infusions lasting more than a few days or weeks are limited by catheter displacement and infection, and similar concerns might apply to other continuous infusions, though this isn't specified for peripheral blocks. Interventional methods may not be suitable in situations like ongoing infection or blood clotting disorders, based on typical medical practice, but the guideline

does not list these conditions fully. Reasons for considering interventional options include:

•Pain likely to be relieved by a regional block (such as chest wall pain with an intercostal nerve block, or other common examples like pancreatic pain with a celiac plexus block).

- Desire to reduce reliance on systemic opioids due to inadequate pain relief or intolerable side effects.
- Regional chronic pain syndromes in patients with cancer

In 2018, we updated the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline on adult cancer pain and added one guideline from the American Society of Anesthesiologists and American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine (2010) that does not mention continuous peripheral nerve blocks for chronic pain management. No policy changes are warranted. The policy ID was changed from CP# 10.02.06 to CCP.1347.

In 2019, we updated the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline on adult cancer pain, with no policy changes warranted. We identified no other newly published, relevant literature to add to the policy.

In 2020, we updated Medicare Local Coverage Articles and Local Coverage Decisions and added no new published information to the policy, which resulted in no material changes to the policy.

In 2021, we updated the references, including the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline on adult cancer pain, and added the results of a blinded, multisite trial of participants with phantom limb pain randomized to receive a six-day ambulatory perineural local anesthetic infusion with ropivacaine (n = 71) or normal saline placebo (n = 73) (Ilfeld, 2021; Clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT01824082). The primary outcome was the average phantom pain severity measured with a Numeric Rating Scale (0 to 10) at four weeks followed by an optional crossover treatment for up to an additional 12 weeks.

Baseline pretreatment pain severity scores were similar in both groups (median = 5.0, interquartile range 4.0, 7.0). Compared to the placebo group, those receiving the local anesthetic infusion had substantially reduced phantom limb pain four weeks after the initiation of treatment (reported as mean phantom pain intensity on a Numeric Rating Scale 0 to 10 [standard deviation]): 3.0 (2.9) in patients given the active treatment versus 4.5 (2.6) in the placebo group, P = .003. The main study limitation was that self-selection of optimal crossover treatment introduced significant selection bias for data collected subsequent to the primary and secondary endpoints. The authors recommended additional research to investigate the optimal perineural infusion parameters and define the precise duration of analgesic benefits (Ilfeld, 2021). These results warrant no policy changes.

In 2022, we updated the references and added content that did not change coverage.

In 2023, we updated the references, including the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline on adult cancer pain, and removed all Medicare Local Coverage Articles and Local Coverage Decisions references.

Ilfeld (2023) reanalyzed outcome data from the Ilfeld (2021) clinical trial of participants with postamputation phantom and residual limb pain to provide more clinically meaningful patient-centered outcomes. A clinically relevant difference was defined as an improvement > 1.5 points on a validated 11-point numeric rating scale based on individual data collected at baseline and four weeks post-baseline. Among participants who were given a six-day ropivacaine infusion, 57% experienced at least a 2-point improvement in their average and worst phantom pain four weeks post-baseline, compared with 26% (P < .001) and 25% (P < .001) for those given a placebo infusion, respectively. The percentage of participants experiencing a clinically relevant improvement in phantom and residual limb pain varied by their baseline pain intensity, as those with severe pain at baseline (defined as numeric rating scale > 7) experienced a lower probability of a clinically meaningful improvement in both phantom and residual limb pain. No policy changes are warranted.

In 2024, we updated the references and found no newly relevant published literature to add to the policy. No policy changes are warranted.

In 2025, we found a network meta-analysis by Chung and colleagues evaluated the effectiveness of various treatments for chronic phantom limb pain, analyzing 12 randomized controlled trials (n = 783). Continuous perineural block ranked third among all treatments for reducing pain, showing modest improvement compared to placebo (average pain reduction of 1.5, with a 95% confidence interval ranging from -3.10 to -0.10). This reduction approached but did not clearly surpass the minimal clinically important difference threshold of 1.7 to 2.0 established for chronic pain. The analysis found that neuromodulation techniques, particularly repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation—a method using magnetic fields to stimulate brain neurons—demonstrated greater effectiveness (average pain reduction of 2.9, with a 95% confidence interval from -4.62 to -1.18). The authors noted limitations including the limited number of studies for each treatment, differences in patient characteristics across trials, and a lack of long-term outcome data, with only eight trials assessing effects beyond one month and one study exploring outcomes beyond six months (Chung, 2025). No policy changes were warranted.

References

On March 17, 2025, we searched PubMed and the databases of the Cochrane Library, the U.K. National Health Services Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Search terms were "Nerve block/methods (MeSH), "Chronic pain (MeSH)," and free text terms continuous peripheral nerve block, percutaneous nerve block, perineural infusion. We included the best available evidence according to established evidence hierarchies (typically systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and full economic analyses, where available) and professional guidelines based on such evidence and clinical expertise.

Aguirre J, Del Moral A, Cobo I, Borgeat A, Blumenthal S. The role of continuous peripheral nerve blocks. *Anesthesiol Res Pract*. 2012;2012:560879. Doi: 10.1155/2012/560879.

American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Practice guidelines for chronic pain management: An updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. *Anesthesiology*. 2010;112(4):810-833. Doi: 10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181c43103.

Arcidiacono PG, Calori G, Carrara S, McNicol ED, Testoni PA. Celiac plexus block for pancreatic cancer pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;3:Cd007519. Doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007519.pub2.

Bingham AE, Fu R, Horn JL, Abrahams MS. Continuous peripheral nerve block compared with single-injection peripheral nerve block: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2012;37(6):583-594. Doi: 10.1097/AAP.0b013e31826c351b.

Blumenfeld A, Ashkenazi A, Napchan U, et al. Expert consensus recommendations for the performance of peripheral nerve blocks for headaches — a narrative review. *Headache*. 2013;53(3):437-446. Doi: 10.1111/head.12053.

Chung SM, Wang JC, Lin CR, et al. Beyond traditional therapies: a network meta-analysis on the treatment efficacy for chronic phantom limb pain. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2025;50(3):213-224. Doi:10.1136/rapm-2023-105104.

Horlocker TT, Vandermeuelen E, Kopp SL, Gogarten W, Leffert LR, Benzon HT. Regional anesthesia in the patient receiving antithrombotic or thrombolytic therapy: American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine evidence-based guidelines (fourth edition). *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2018;43(3):263-309. Doi: 10.1097/aap.000000000000763.

CCP.1347

Ilfeld BM. Continuous peripheral nerve blocks: A review of the published evidence. *Anesth Analg.* 2011;113(4):904-925. Doi: 10.1213/ANE.0b013e3182285e01.

Ilfeld BM. Continuous peripheral nerve blocks: An update of the published evidence and comparison with novel, alternative analgesic modalities. *Anesth Analg*. 2017;124(1):308-335. Doi: 10.1213/ane.00000000001581.

Ilfeld BM, Khatibi B, Maheshwari K, et al. Ambulatory continuous peripheral nerve blocks to treat postamputation phantom limb pain a multicenter, randomized, quadruple-masked, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Pain.* 2021;162(3):938-955. Doi: 10.1097/j.pain.000000000002087.

Ilfeld BM, Khatibi B, Maheshwari K, et al. Patient-centered results from a multicenter study of continuous peripheral nerve blocks and postamputation phantom and residual limb pain: Secondary outcomes from a randomized, clinical trial. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2023;48(9):471-477. Doi: 10.1136/rapm-2023-104389.

Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Atluri S, et al. An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part II: Guidance and recommendations. *Pain Physician*. 2013;16(2 Suppl):S49-283. <u>https://www.painphysicianjournal.com/current/pdf?article=MTg3Mg%3D%3D&journal=74</u>.

Martelletti P, Jensen RH, Antal A, et al. Neuromodulation of chronic headaches: Position statement from the European Headache Federation. *J Headache Pain*. 2013;14:86. Doi: 10.1186/1129-2377-14-86.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Pain management and the opioid epidemic: Balancing societal and individual benefits and risks of prescription opioid use. National Academies Press website. <u>https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/24781/pain-management-and-the-opioid-epidemic-balancing-societal-and-individual</u>. Published 2017.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines[®]). Version 1.2025. Adult cancer pain. <u>www.nccn.org</u>. Published March 12, 2025.

Neal JM, Barrington MJ, Brull R, et al. The second ASRA practice advisory on neurologic complications associated with regional anesthesia and pain medicine: Executive summary 2015. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2015;40(5):401-430. Doi: 10.1097/AAP.00000000000286.

Paice JA, Portenoy R, Lacchetti C, et al. Management of chronic pain in survivors of adult cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2016;34(27):3325-3345. Doi: 10.1200/jco.2016.68.5206.

Rikard SM, Strahan AE, Schmit KM, Guy GP, Jr. Chronic pain among adults - United States, 2019-2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2023;72(15):379-385. Doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7215a1.

Zelaya C, Dahlhamer JM, Lucas JW, Connor EM. Chronic pain and high impact chronic pain among U.S. adults, 2019. *National Center for Health Statistics Data Brief No.390*. Center for Disease Control and Prevention website. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db390.htm</u>. Last reviewed November 2020.

Policy updates

10/2017: initial review date and clinical policy effective date: 12/2017

10/2018: Policy references updated. Policy ID changed from CP# 10.02.06 to CCP.1347.

4/2019: Policy references updated.

4/2020: Policy references updated.

4/2021: Policy references updated.

- 4/2022: Policy references updated.
- 4/2023: Policy references updated.
- 4/2024: Policy references updated.
- 4/2025: Policy references updated.